





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 2D13-1450 Alexandra, Vignia 2D13-1450

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING OR 371(C) DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
10/829,524 04/22/2004 Shinji Kawashima MNDSH-01000US0

CONFIRMATION NO. 7361

23910 FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111

RECEIVED

LETTER

OC00000016020295

ABANDONMENT/TERMINATION

MAY 1 6 10-5

FLIESLER MEYER

Date Mailed: 05/13/2005

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.53 (f) OR (g)

The above-identified application is abandoned for failure to timely or properly reply to the Notice to File Missing Parts (Notice) mailed on 08/31/2004.

No reply was received.

If a complete reply to the notice was previously filed by applicant within the time period set forth in the notice, applicant may request for reconsideration of the holding of abandonment within 2 months from the mailing of this notice of abandonment by filing a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181(a). No petition fee is required. The petition must be accompanied by a true copy of the originally filed reply and the item (s) identified in one of the following:

- 1. A properly itemized date-stamped postcard receipt (see MPEP § 503);
- 2. If the originally filed reply included a certificate of mailing or transmission in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8(a), a copy of the certificate of mailing or transmission and a statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.8(b) (see MPEP §§ 512); or
- 3. If the reply was filed via Express Mail, a submission satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 1.10(e) including, for example, a copy of the Express Mail mailing label showing the "date-in" (see MPEP § 513).

Any petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment should be directed to OIPE.

If applicant did not previously file a complete reply within the time period set forth in the notice, applicant may file a petition to revive the application under 37 CFR 1.137.

Under 37 CFR 1.137(a), a petition requesting the application be revived on the grounds of **UNAVOIDABLE DELAY** must be filed promptly after the applicant becomes aware of the abandonment and such petition must be accompanied by: (1) an adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay; (2) the required reply to the above-identified Notice; (3) the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(l); and (4) a terminal disclaimer if required by 37 CFR 1.137(d). See MPEP § 711.03(c) and Form PTO/SB/61.

Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), a petition requesting the application be revived on the grounds of **UNINTENTIONAL DELAY** must be filed promptly after applicant becomes aware of the abandonment and such petition must be accompanied by: (1) a statement that the entire delay was unintentional; (2) the required reply to the above-

identified Notice; (3) the petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and (4) a terminal disclaimer if required by 37 CFR 1.137(d). See MPEP § 711.03(c) and Form PTO/SB/64.

Any questions concerning petitions to revive should be directed to the "Office of Petitions" at (571) 272-3282.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with the reply.

Office of Living Board

Office of Initial Patent Examination (703) 308-1202

PART I - ATTORNEY/APPLICANT COPY

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

FOUR EMBARCADERD CENTER * FOURTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO * CALIFORNIA 94111-4156 TELEPHONE 415.382.3800

TELEPHONE FACSIMILE

416.362.2928

INTERHET

WWW, FDML, COM



KIN-10105

CONFIDENTIAL

TO:	William Bak	
FAX NO.:	215-540-5818	
FROM:	John Maher	
RE:	(10/829,524) Misdirected Mail	
DATE:	<u>May 19, 2005</u> Total Pages : <u>6</u>	
		<u>-</u>

Original will follow by mail: No

If you do not receive all of the pages, please call __John Maher_ at 415.362.3800.

MESSAGE (if any):

This notice of abandonment was mailed to our firm by mistake. The USPTO has Fliesler Meyer LLP as the correspondence address for this case which is incorrect. It seems to stem from a Power of Attorney that we filed 5/27/2004 for our application 09/829,524. The transmittal letter was mislabeled as 10/829,524 which the USPTO took as the case, even though the Power and Change of Correspondence Address we submitted had the correct application number of 09/829,524.

MAY 2 0 2005

NOTICE: This facsimile is CONFIDENTIAL and may be attorney-client privileged, work product, and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law.

This facsimile is intended only for the addressee and those authorized by the addressee to receive it. Any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile by any others is prohibited. Any others receiving this facsimile are requested to notify FLIESLER MEYER LLP immediately by telephone or fax and to return the original facsimile to FLIESLER MEYER LLP.